IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION No. 23204 of 2004

Between:

K. Anil Kumar, S/oK. Damodar,
R/o. H.No. 1-176, Near Railway Station, Alair,
Nalgonda District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. Central Power Distribution Company of A.P. Ltd., rep. by its

Chairman & Managing Director, Singareni Colleries Bhavan,

Red Hills, Hyderabad.

2 Central Power Distribution Company of A.P. Ltd., rep. by its

Chief General Manager (IR & Legal), Singareni Colleries

Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyd.

3 Central Power Distribution Company of A.P. Ltd., rep. by its

Superintending engineer, Operation Circle, Nalgonda.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, order or directions by declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the case of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Sub-Engineer, Pursuant to the interviews, as illegal, arbitrary and un-constitutional being violative of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently, direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Sub-Engineer, with all consequential benefits.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.B.A.PRAKASH REDDY
Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: MR.S.RAVINDRANATH

The Court at the admission stage made the following O R D E R:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the case of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Sub-Engineer, pursuant to the interviews, as illegal and arbitrary.

The petitioner contends that through letter dated 20.07.2001, the third respondent informed the petitioner that his case is under process and, therefore, requested to await the result. As no communication has been received by the petitioner from the respondents, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner attended the interview held on 12.05.2001 and the respondents have not declared the results so far and, therefore, the case of the petitioner may be considered to the post of Sub-Engineer.

Learned standing counsel appearing for the respondents opposed the same on the ground that the petitioner has no right to seek appointment to the post of Sub-Engineer and basing on the performance in the interview held on 12.05.2001, the candidates will be selected.

Pursuant to the paper notification dated 11.03.2001, the petitioner was called for interview to be held on 12.05.2001 and, accordingly, he appeared to the interview for the post of Sub-Engineer. The proceedings dated 20.07.2001 would go to show that the case of the petitioner is in process and, therefore, he was requested to await the results. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondents have not declared the results so far. Therefore, a direction may be given to the respondents to declare the results in pursuance of the interviews held on 12.05.2001, if not already declared.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

21.02.2005

Note:CC in two days

bo

usd

To

1. The Chairman & Managing Director, Central Power Distribution Company of A.P. Ltd., Singareni Colleries Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad.

2. The Chief General Manager (IR & Legal), Central Power Distribution Company of A.P. Ltd., Singareni Colleries

Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyd.

3 The Superintending Engineer, Central Power Distribution

Company of A.P. Ltd., Operation Circle, Nalgonda.

4 Two CD copies.